

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OR COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,467	03/09/2004	Ronald W. McGregor	2001-IP-003099 U1P1C1 USA	2289
3237675	590		EXAM	INER
LAWRENCE R. YOUST			WALKER, ZAKIYA NICOLE	
DANAMRAJ &	Ł YOUST, P.C.			
5910 NORTH CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 1450			3672	
DALLAS, TX	75206		DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,
	10/796,467	MCGREGOR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	12
	Zakiya N. Walker	3672	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions.of.time.may.be.available.under.the-provisions-of-37-CFR-1- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a).—In-no event, however, may analy within the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this cor  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the	•	· •	ments is
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)</li></ul>	awn from consideration.  re rejected.  32 is/are objected to.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	· ·	-	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureaton of the priority document application from the International Bureaton document and the standard described of the priority document application from the International Bureaton document and the standard described of the priority document application from the International Bureaton document and the standard described of the priority document application from the International Bureaton document and the standard described of the priority document application from the International Bureaton document and the priority document application from the International Bureaton document application from the International Bureaton document application from the International Bureaton document and the priority document application from the International Bureaton document application from the Internation document application from the Internation document application docume	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National S	Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not	received.	·
Attachment(s)        Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🔲 Intonious	Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-052)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09072004.	Paper No(	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-	-152)

Art Unit: 3672

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: paragraph [0001] should be updated to include --U.S. Patent 6,702,018-- in the cross-reference to prior applications section.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-3, 6, 12, 16-18, 22-24, and 27-29 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 20, 31, 37, 38, and 40 of U.S. Patent No. 6,702,018 (US'018). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the instant invention are merely a broadened form of the US'018

Art Unit: 3672

claims. For instance, the limitations of claim 20 of US'018 have been spread amongst claims 1-3 and 6 of the instant invention. Similarly, the limitations of claims 31, 37, 38, and 40 of US'018 have been spread amongst claims 12, 16-18, 22-24, and 27-29 of the instant invention. Therefore, it would have been considered obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided the claims of the instant invention in the parent patent US'018 in order to provide for broadened claim protection.

### Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4, 5, 7-11, 13-15, 19-21, 25, 26, and 30-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zakiya N. Walker whose telephone number is (703) 305-0302. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 6:30 AM-5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bagnell can be reached on (703) 308-2151. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zakiya N. Walker Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672

zw November 22, 2004